作成番号:0278

一般社団法人 日本侵襲医療安全推進啓発協議会 「会員向けメールマガジン」

\_\_\_\_\_

号数:2025-278

内容:緑茶、番茶、ウーロン茶、紅茶の摂取頻度とスギ花粉症との関係

出典:Relationship between tea intake and cedar pollen allergy: a population-based cross-sectional study.

Journal of nutritional science. 2025;14;e2. pii: e2. doi: 10.1017/jns.2024.96. eCollection 2025. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39943933/

## \*

緑茶は、カテキンなどの抗酸化作用による抗炎症効果によって、アレルギー症状に効果があると考えられているが、大規模な疫学研究は限られている。今回、順天堂大学の研究者らは、日本人の大規模疫学コホートにおいて、緑茶、番茶、ウーロン茶、紅茶の摂取頻度とスギ花粉症との関係を検討し、Journal of Nutritional Science 誌 2025 年 1 月 10 日号に報告した。

2013~15年に募集した宮城県および岩手県に住む40歳以上の約6万人において、血液検査によるスギ花粉抗体値を測定され、自記式質問票によるお茶の摂取頻度に回答した16,623人のデータを用いた。ロジスティック回帰モデルにより、摂取頻度(週1回未満、週1~6回、1日1杯以上の3群に分類)と血清中のスギ花粉特異的IgE値(ルミカウント0~1.39:陰性、1.40以上:陽性)との関連を解析した。緑茶について、1日1杯以上は週1回未満を基準としてスギ花粉特異的IgE陽性の調整オッズ比が0.81(95%信頼区間:0.70~0.94、p<0.01)であった。緑茶以外では摂取頻度とスギ花粉特異的IgEとの間に統計学的に有意な関連は認められなかった。

お茶、とくに緑茶を1日1回以上習慣的に摂取すると、スギ花粉特異的IgE 陽性の可能性が低下することがわかった。

Table 2. Odds ratios for positive serum cedar pollen-specific IgE by frequency of tea intake

	Number of negative cases*	Number of positive cases*	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
			OR (95% CI)	р	Model 1 <sup>†</sup> OR (95% CI)	р	Model 2 <sup>‡</sup> OR (95% CI)	р
Types of tea an	d frequency of intake <sup>§</sup>							
Green tea	9,308	7,315						
Group 1	1,663	1,629	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)	
Group 2	2,535	2,217	0.89 (0.82-0.98)	0.13	0.93 (0.85-1.01)	0.11	0.94 (0.80-1.10)	0.40
Group 3	5,110	3,469	0.70 (0.69-0.75)	<0.01	0.82 (0.76-0.90)	<0.01	0.81 (0.70-0.94)	<0.01
Coarse tea	8,892	7,090						
Group 1	4,189	3,536	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)	
Group 2	2,398	2,018	1.00 (0.93-1.07)	0.34	1.01 (0.94-1.09)	0.74	1.08 (0.95-1.23)	0.26
Group 3	2,305	1,536	0.79 (0.73-0.85)	<0.01	0.90 (0.83-0.97)	<0.01	1.02 (0.87-1.19)	0.86
Oolong tea	7,331	6,202						
Group 1	4,496	3,915	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)	
Group 2	2,204	1,831	0.95 (0.89-1.03)	0.22	0.95 (0.88-1.03)	0.22	1.06 (0.93-1.21)	0.36
Group 3	631	456	0.83 (0.73-0.94)	<0.01	0.85 (0.73-0.97)	0.02	1.00 (0.78-1.26)	0.34
Black tea	6,886	5,962						
Group 1	4,702	4,075	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)	
Group 2	1,734	1,537	1.02 (0.94-1.11)	0.58	1.04 (0.96-1.13)	0.39	1.07 (0.92-1.23)	0.39
Group 3	450	350	0.90 (0.78-1.04)	0.15	0.95 (0.82-1.10)	0.51	1.27 (0.95-1.70)	0.10

OR, odds ratio, 95% C; 95% confidence interval.

\*Participants with serum cedar pollen-specific [gt values (LC) of 0 to 1.39 were considered 'negative cases' and those with LC values above 1.40 were considered 'positive cases'.

\*Model 1 was adjusted for age (€55 years), 265 years) and sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), 265 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, female).

\*Model 2 was adjusted for age (₹55 years), sex (male, fem